Case 1:07-cv-10810-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/08/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
JOSE MEDINA AND KATHY MEDINA		DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			•
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	nerein in additionse are marked w	on to those paragraphs ith an '☑' if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, JOSE MEDINA AND	KATHY MED	INA, by his/her/their att	torneys WORBY

GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

_		1756
	(OR)	
2. Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent
, and brings this claim in	his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	, , 1	

Cas	se 1:07-cv-10810-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing Plaintiff:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 56-, and has the following relationship to the Injured	
Tamuii.	JOSE MEDINA, and brings th	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff his derivative action for her (his) loss due to the	
		and (his wife), Plaintiff JOSE MEDINA. Other:	
4. Edison of Nev	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/21/2 w York, Inc. as a crew chief at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated	
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
The World	I Trade Center Site	=====================================	
	e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;	
From on or about 9/11/2001 until 9/21/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	$y \frac{1}{11}$ days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the	
Approximatel Approximatel	y until, y hours per day; for y days total.	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;	
	oout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;	
Approximatel Approximatel	y hours per day; for y days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separate	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	Was exposed to and breathed nabove;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10810-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, b	Jurisdi	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-10810-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Cancer Injury: N/A.

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

rehabilitation

Other:

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
✓	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: Psychological problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Groui	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	f tł	ne injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
==== √	Pain and suffering			
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Jose Medina and Kathy Medina

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
November 7, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	JOSE MEDINA (AND WIFE, KATHY MEDINA),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
====	To Attorney(s) for
====	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
_	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
[That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP